

Angular Moment Analysis of Low Relative Velocity Correlations

P. Danielewicz S. Pratt

Winter Workshop on Nuclear Dynamics, Breckenridge, 2005



Outline

1 Introduction

- Interferometry in Astronomy
- Heavy-Ion Collisions
- Observed Asymmetries

2 Analysis of Correlations

- Multipole Decomposition & Imaging
- Cartesian Harmonics
- Examples

3 Summary

Outline

1 Introduction

- Interferometry in Astronomy
- Heavy-Ion Collisions
- Observed Asymmetries


2 Analysis of Correlations

- Multipole Decomposition & Imaging
- Cartesian Harmonics
- Examples

3 Summary

Astronomy

Intensity/phase interferometry first used to assess sizes of astronomical objects. Astronomers have since moved to details:



red giant Betelgeuse

Can we do comparably well?




Figure 5.7: Reconstructed image of Capella, from data taken on 25 October 1997 at a wavelength of $1.3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. The contours are at $-4, 4, 10, 20, 30, \dots, 90\%$ of the peak ux. The map has been restored with a circular beam for clarity.

binary star Capella,

Monnier

Rep Prog Phy 66(03)789

MICHIGAN STATE
UNIVERSITY

Outline

1 Introduction


- Interferometry in Astronomy
- Heavy-Ion Collisions
- Observed Asymmetries

2 Analysis of Correlations

- Multipole Decomposition & Imaging
- Cartesian Harmonics
- Examples

3 Summary

Factorization of Final-State Amplitude in Reactions




2-ptcle inclusive cross section
at low $|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2|$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2} = \int d\mathbf{r} S'_P(\mathbf{r}) |\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

S': distribution of emission points in 2-ptcle CM

Factorization of Final-State Amplitude in Reactions



2-ptcle inclusive cross section
at low $|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2|$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2} = \int d\mathbf{r} S'_P(\mathbf{r}) |\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

data source 2-ptcle wf

S' : distribution of emission points in 2-ptcle CM

Normalizing with 1-ptcle cross sections yields correlation f:

$$C(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) = \frac{\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2}}{\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathbf{p}_1} \frac{d\sigma}{d\mathbf{p}_2}} = \int d\mathbf{r} S_P(\mathbf{r}) |\Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})|^2$$

Then the relative source is normalized to unity: $\int d\mathbf{r} S_P(\mathbf{r}) = 1$.

Note: C may only give access to the density of relative emission points in 2-ptcle CM, integrated there over time

Outline

1 Introduction


- Interferometry in Astronomy
- Heavy-Ion Collisions
- Observed Asymmetries

2 Analysis of Correlations

- Multipole Decomposition & Imaging
- Cartesian Harmonics
- Examples

3 Summary


Time Difference in Emission



Anisotropic C , dependent on orientation of \mathbf{q}

Attributable to anisotropic S :
displaced S

$$\vec{r}_{np} = \vec{r}_n - \vec{r}_p$$





if n emitted earlier than p

Ghetti *et al.*,
PRL91(03)0927011

Model fitted to data

Geometry + Freezeout + Collective Motion



⇐ Fitted radii (longitudinal, outward & sideward) for an anisotropic Gaussian

Models fitted to data...

Outline

1 Introduction

- Interferometry in Astronomy
- Heavy-Ion Collisions
- Observed Asymmetries

2 Analysis of Correlations

- Multipole Decomposition & Imaging
- Cartesian Harmonics
- Examples

3 Summary

Integral Relation

Of interest is the deviation of correlation function from unity:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = C(\mathbf{q}) - 1 = \int d\mathbf{r} \left(|\Phi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})|^2 - 1 \right) S(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \int d\mathbf{r} K(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) S(\mathbf{r})$$

Learning on S possible when $|\Phi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})|^2$ deviates from 1, either due to symmetrization or interaction within the pair.

The spin-averaged kernel K depends only on the relative angle between \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{r} . This facilitates the angular decomposition.

With

$$K(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = \sum (2\ell + 1) K_\ell(q, r) P^\ell(\cos \theta), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \mathcal{R}^{\ell m}(q) Y^{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}), \quad S(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} S^{\ell m}(q) Y^{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

we reduce the 3D relation to a set of 1D:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\ell m}(q) = 4\pi \int dr r^2 K_\ell(q, r) S^{\ell m}(r)$$



Integral Relation

Of interest is the deviation of correlation function from unity:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = C(\mathbf{q}) - 1 = \int d\mathbf{r} \left(|\Phi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})|^2 - 1 \right) S(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \int d\mathbf{r} K(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) S(\mathbf{r})$$

Learning on S possible when $|\Phi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r})|^2$ deviates from 1, either due to symmetrization or interaction within the pair.

The spin-averaged kernel K depends only on the relative angle between \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{r} . This facilitates the angular decomposition.

With

$$K(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = \sum (2\ell + 1) K_\ell(q, r) P^\ell(\cos \theta), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \mathcal{R}^{\ell m}(q) Y^{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}), \quad S(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} S^{\ell m}(q) Y^{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

we reduce the 3D relation to a set of 1D:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\ell m}(q) = 4\pi \int dr r^2 K_\ell(q, r) S^{\ell m}(r)$$



$\ell = 0$

Different multipolarities of deformation for the source and correlation functions are directly related to each other.

The $\ell = 0$ version:

$$\mathcal{R}(q) = 4\pi \int dr r^2 K_0(q, r) S(r)$$

where $\mathcal{R}(q)$, K_0 and $S(r)$ – angle-averaged correlation, kernel and source, respectively.

For pure interference, π^0 's or γ 's, $\Phi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} + e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}})$, the kernel $K = |\Phi|^2 - 1$ results from the interference term in $|\Phi|^2$ and the correlation-source relation is just the FT:

$$\mathcal{R}_0(q) = \frac{2\pi}{q} \int dr r \sin(2qr) S_0(r)$$



Discretization & Imaging

Source discretization w/ χ^2 fitting applies to any pair:

- ① Discretize integral

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \sum_j 4\pi \Delta r_j^2 K_0(q_i, r_j) S(r_j) \equiv \sum_j K_{ij} S_j$$

- ② Vary $S(r_j)$ to minimize χ^2 :


$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{(\sum_j K_{ij} S_j - \mathcal{R}_i^{\text{exp}})^2}{\sigma_i^2}$$

- ③ S_j -derivative of χ^2 yields:

$$\sum_{ij} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} (K_{ij} S_j - \mathcal{R}_i^{\text{exp}}) K_{ij} = 0$$



with solution in a mtx form:

$$S = (K^\top K)^{-1} K^\top \mathcal{R}^{\text{exp}}$$



pp Imaging

Imaging impacted interpretation of C_{pp} , Verde PRC65(02)054609



Gauss par: quickly changing radii. Imaging: quickly changing preequilibrium fraction, non-Gaussian source shapes!

$S(r \rightarrow 0)$: preequilibrium fraction, entropy, freeze-out ρ ...

Outline

1 Introduction

- Interferometry in Astronomy
- Heavy-Ion Collisions
- Observed Asymmetries

2 Analysis of Correlations

- Multipole Decomposition & Imaging
- **Cartesian Harmonics**
- Examples

3 Summary


Anisotropies??

As far as anisotropies are concerned, with

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \mathcal{R}^{\ell m}(q) Y^{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}), \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \mathcal{S}^{\ell m}(q) Y^{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

we have

$$\mathcal{R}^{\ell m}(q) = 4\pi \int dr r^2 K_\ell(q, r) \mathcal{S}^{\ell m}(r)$$



A set of 1D integral relations

Problem: Why turning real quantities, R & S , into imaginary,
 $R^{\ell m}$ & $S^{\ell m}$? Other basis than $Y^{\ell m}$??


Anisotropies??

As far as anisotropies are concerned, with

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \mathcal{R}^{\ell m}(q) Y^{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}), \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \mathcal{S}^{\ell m}(q) Y^{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

we have

$$\mathcal{R}^{\ell m}(q) = 4\pi \int dr r^2 K_\ell(q, r) \mathcal{S}^{\ell m}(r)$$



A set of 1D integral relations

Problem: Why turning **real** quantities, R & S , into **imaginary**,
 $R^{\ell m}$ & $S^{\ell m}$? Other basis than $Y^{\ell m}$??

Cartesian Basis

Take the direction vector: $\hat{n}_\alpha = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$

Rank- ℓ tensor product:

$$(\hat{n}^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} \equiv \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} \dots \hat{n}_{\alpha_\ell} = \sum_{\ell' \leq \ell, m} c_{\ell' m} Y^{\ell' m}$$

$\mathcal{D}^{(\ell,\ell)}$ projection operator that, within the space of rank- ℓ cartesian tensors, removes $Y^{\ell' m}$ components with $\ell' < \ell$:

$$(\mathcal{D}\hat{n}^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} = \sum_m c_{\ell m} Y^{\ell m}$$

The components $\mathcal{D}\hat{n}^\ell$ are real and can be used to replace $Y^{\ell m}$.

Low- ℓ Cartesian Harmonics

$$\mathcal{D}\hat{n}^0 = 1$$

$$(\mathcal{D}\hat{n}^1)_\alpha = \hat{n}_\alpha$$

$$(\mathcal{D}\hat{n}^2)_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} = \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$$

$$(\mathcal{D}\hat{n}^3)_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} = \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} \hat{n}_{\alpha_3} - \frac{1}{5} (\delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \hat{n}_{\alpha_3} + \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} + \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3} \hat{n}_{\alpha_1})$$

⋮

\mathcal{D} can be called a detracing operator as

$$\sum_\alpha (\mathcal{D}\hat{n}^\ell)_{\alpha \alpha \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_\ell} = 0$$

Decomposition with Cartesian Harmonics

Completeness relation ($\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^\top = \mathcal{D}^2$):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\Omega' - \Omega) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell + 1)!!}{\ell!} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} (\mathcal{D} \hat{n}'^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} (\mathcal{D} \hat{n}^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell + 1)!!}{\ell!} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} (\mathcal{D} \hat{n}'^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \dots \hat{n}_{\alpha_\ell}\end{aligned}$$

In consequence

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \int d\Omega' \delta(\Omega' - \Omega) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}') = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(q) \hat{q}_{\alpha_1} \dots \hat{q}_{\alpha_\ell}$$

where coefficients are angular moments

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(q) = \frac{(2\ell + 1)!!}{\ell!} \int \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}}{4\pi} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) (\mathcal{D} \hat{q}^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}$$



Decomposition with Cartesian Harmonics

Completeness relation ($\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^\top = \mathcal{D}^2$):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\Omega' - \Omega) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell + 1)!!}{\ell!} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} (\mathcal{D} \hat{n}'^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} (\mathcal{D} \hat{n}^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell + 1)!!}{\ell!} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} (\mathcal{D} \hat{n}'^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \dots \hat{n}_{\alpha_\ell}\end{aligned}$$

In consequence

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \int d\Omega' \delta(\Omega' - \Omega) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}') = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(q) \hat{q}_{\alpha_1} \dots \hat{q}_{\alpha_\ell}$$

where coefficients are angular moments

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(q) = \frac{(2\ell + 1)!!}{\ell!} \int \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{q}}}{4\pi} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) (\mathcal{D} \hat{q}^\ell)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}$$

Consequences

Cartesian coefficients for \mathcal{R} & S directly related to each other:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(q) = 4\pi \int dr r^2 K_\ell(q, r) S_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(r)$$

For weak anisotropies, only lowest- ℓ matter:

$$\mathcal{R}(q) = \mathcal{R}^{(0)}(q) + \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{(1)}(q) \hat{q}_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^{(2)}(q) \hat{q}_{\alpha_1} \hat{q}_{\alpha_2} + \dots$$

$\mathcal{R}^{(0)}$ - angle-averaged correlation

$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \equiv R^{(1)} e_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ - dipole distortion, magnitude + direction vector

$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(q) = R_1^{(2)} e_{1\alpha}^{(2)} e_{1\beta}^{(2)} + R_3^{(2)} e_{3\alpha}^{(2)} e_{3\beta}^{(2)} - (R_1^{(2)} + R_3^{(2)}) e_{2\alpha}^{(2)} e_{2\beta}^{(2)}$

- quadrupole distortion, 2 magnitude values + 3 orthogonal direction vectors



Consequences

Cartesian coefficients for \mathcal{R} & S directly related to each other:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(q) = 4\pi \int dr r^2 K_\ell(q, r) S_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(r)$$

For weak anisotropies, only lowest- ℓ matter:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathcal{R}^{(0)}(q) + \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{(1)}(q) \hat{q}_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^{(2)}(q) \hat{q}_{\alpha_1} \hat{q}_{\alpha_2} + \dots$$

$\mathcal{R}^{(0)}$ - angle-averaged correlation

$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \equiv R^{(1)} e_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ - dipole distortion, magnitude + direction vector

$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(q) = R_1^{(2)} e_{1\alpha}^{(2)} e_{1\beta}^{(2)} + R_3^{(2)} e_{3\alpha}^{(2)} e_{3\beta}^{(2)} - (R_1^{(2)} + R_3^{(2)}) e_{2\alpha}^{(2)} e_{2\beta}^{(2)}$
- quadrupole distortion, 2 magnitude values + 3 orthogonal direction vectors



Consequences

Cartesian coefficients for \mathcal{R} & S directly related to each other:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(q) = 4\pi \int dr r^2 K_\ell(q, r) S_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_\ell}^{(\ell)}(r)$$

For weak anisotropies, only lowest- ℓ matter:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathcal{R}^{(0)}(q) + \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{(1)}(q) \hat{q}_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^{(2)}(q) \hat{q}_{\alpha_1} \hat{q}_{\alpha_2} + \dots$$

$\mathcal{R}^{(0)}$ - angle-averaged correlation

$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \equiv R^{(1)} e_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ - dipole distortion, magnitude + direction vector

$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(q) = R_1^{(2)} e_{1\alpha}^{(2)} e_{1\beta}^{(2)} + R_3^{(2)} e_{3\alpha}^{(2)} e_{3\beta}^{(2)} - (R_1^{(2)} + R_3^{(2)}) e_{2\alpha}^{(2)} e_{2\beta}^{(2)}$
- quadrupole distortion, 2 magnitude values + 3 orthogonal direction vectors



Outline

1 Introduction

- Interferometry in Astronomy
- Heavy-Ion Collisions
- Observed Asymmetries



2 Analysis of Correlations

- Multipole Decomposition & Imaging
- Cartesian Harmonics
- Examples



3 Summary

Sample Relative Source


Anisotropic Gaussian, elongated along the beam axis,
displaced along the pair momentum



Low- ℓ Characteristics



Values + Angles



$$S^{(\ell)} \propto r^\ell$$


Classical Coulomb Correlations

Kernel is a function of θ_{qr} and r/r_c , where r_c is the distance of closest approach in a head-on collision, $\frac{q^2}{2m_{ab}} = \frac{Z_a Z_b e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_c}$:

$$|\phi|^2 = \frac{d^3 q_0}{d^3 q} = \frac{\Theta(1 + \cos \theta_{qr} - 2r_c/r) (1 + \cos \theta_{qr} - r_c/r)}{\sqrt{(1 + \cos \theta_{qr})^2 - (1 + \cos \theta_{qr}) 2r_c/r}}$$

$$K_0 = \Theta(r - r_c) \sqrt{1 - r_c/r} - 1$$

Correlation reflects the distribution of relative Coulomb trajectories emerging from an anisotropic source.




Classical Coulomb Correlations

Kernel is a function of θ_{qr} and r/r_c , where r_c is the distance of closest approach in a head-on collision, $\frac{q^2}{2m_{ab}} = \frac{Z_a Z_b e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_c}$:

$$|\phi|^2 = \frac{d^3 q_0}{d^3 q} = \frac{\Theta(1 + \cos \theta_{qr} - 2r_c/r) (1 + \cos \theta_{qr} - r_c/r)}{\sqrt{(1 + \cos \theta_{qr})^2 - (1 + \cos \theta_{qr}) 2r_c/r}}$$




$$K_0 = \Theta(r - r_c) \sqrt{1 - r_c/r} - 1$$

Correlation reflects the distribution of relative Coulomb trajectories emerging from an anisotropic source.



Trajectory Evolution with r_c


No trajectories can contribute from $r < r_c$



C directly reflects anisotropies of S -margins

R reflects integral characteristics of S

Coulomb Correlation




$$r_c^{-1/2} \propto q$$

For more schematic sources, one or more correlation values vanish and/or angles exhibit less variation.

90° jump associated with K_2 sign change and prolate-oblate transition

Coulomb Correlation




$$r_c^{-1/2} \propto q$$

For more schematic sources, one or more correlation values vanish and/or angles exhibit less variation.

90° jump associated with K_2 sign change and prolate-oblate transition

Coulomb Correlation



$$r_c^{-1/2} \propto q$$

For more schematic sources, one or more correlation values vanish and/or angles exhibit less variation.

90° jump associated with K_2 sign change and prolate-oblate transition



Imaging

Assumption: $\ell \leq 2$ cartesian coefficients measured at 80 values of $r_c^{-1/2}$ subject to an r.m.s. error of 0.015.

No of restored values, with the region of $r < 17$ fm: 5 for $\ell = 0$, 4 for $\ell = 1$ and 3 for $\ell = 2$.

[Return to source](#)

$r < 17$ fm Source Characteristics:

	Unit	Restored	Original
$4\pi \int dr r^2 S^{(0)}$		0.99 ± 0.05	1.00
$\langle x \rangle$	fm	2.47 ± 0.11	2.45
$\langle z \rangle$	fm	4.25 ± 0.13	3.90
$\langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	fm	3.80 ± 0.24	3.90
$\langle y^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	fm	3.81 ± 0.22	3.91
$\langle (z - \langle z \rangle)^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	fm	5.54 ± 0.19	5.60
$\langle (x - \langle x \rangle)(z - \langle z \rangle) \rangle$	fm ²	2.23 ± 1.49	-0.41



Summary

- Cartesian harmonic coefficients allow for a systematic quantification of anisotropic correlation functions.
- The coefficients are directly related to the analogous coefficients for the relative source function.
- Features of the source anisotropies may be, to an extent, read off straight from the correlation anisotropies.
Otherwise, they can be imaged.